

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/692,827	Applicant(s) ZHAO ET AL.
		Examiner JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	Art Unit 1793

All Participants:

(1) JAMES E. MCDONOUGH.

(2) Catherine L. Bell.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/a

Claims discussed:
 43

Prior art documents discussed:
 Kocovsky and Buchwald

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James E McDonough/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After reviewing the case and further searching the examiner concluded that the claims would be allowable if the Markush group of activators in the independent claim was modified. Examiner called applicants to inform them of this, and applicants agreed to the proposed modification of the independent claim. Examiner further stated that any claims that would contain the limitations of the independent claim would be rejoined.